Altered Sedative Effects of Ethanol in Mice with α1 Glycine Receptor Subunits that are Insensitive to Gβγ Modulation
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Alcohol abuse and alcoholism are major health problems and one of the leading preventable causes of death. Before achieving better treatments for alcoholism, it is necessary to understand the critical actions of alcohol on membrane proteins that regulate fundamental functions in the central nervous system. After generating a genetically modified knock-in (KI) mouse having a glycine receptor (GlyR) with phenotypical silent mutations at KK385/386AA, we studied its cellular and in vivo ethanol sensitivity. Analyses with western blotting and immunocytochemistry indicated that the expression of α1 GlyRs in nervous tissues and spinal cord neurons (SCNs) were similar between WT and KI mice. The analysis of synaptic currents recorded from KI mice showed that the glycine-ergic synaptic transmission had normal properties, but the sensitivity to ethanol was significantly reduced. Furthermore, the glycine-evoked current in SCNs from KI was resistant to ethanol and G-protein activation by GTP-γ-S. In behavioral studies, KI mice did not display the foot-clasping behavior upon lifting by the tail and lacked an enhanced startle reflex response that are characteristic of other glycine KI mouse lines with markedly impaired glycine receptor function. The most notable characteristic of the KI mice was their significant lower sensitivity to ethanol (~40%), expressed by shorter times in loss of righting reflex (LORR) in response to a sedative dose of ethanol (3.5 g/Kg). These data provide the first evidence to link a molecular site in the GlyR with the sedative effects produced by intoxicating doses of ethanol.
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Alcohol abuse and alcoholism are major health problems that affect millions of people worldwide causing great medical and economic burdens. In the United States, for example, alcohol abuse is the third leading preventable cause of death. Ethanol is a CNS-depressant drug, and at intoxicating concentrations it disrupts most of the brain functions, including executive planning, awareness, muscle control, and memory (Spanagel, 2009). In addition, respiratory and cardiovascular functions are also affected by lethal doses of ethanol. One of the most accepted hypothesis is that ethanol interferes with neuronal membrane proteins altering their function, either excitatory or inhibitory (Jia et al., 2008; Lovinger et al., 1989; Lovinger and White, 1991; Mihic et al., 1997). Although it is known that inhibitory GlyRs are highly sensitive to ethanol, it is unknown how enhancement in channel activity in the presence of the drug produces the behavioral alterations resulting from its excessive consumption (Aguayo and Pancetti, 1994).

There is a large consensus that to effectively treat alcoholism new therapeutic approaches are needed. Despite the apparent efficacy of combined behavioral strategies and pharmacotherapies, less than 1/3 of alcohol-dependent patients benefit from currently available interventions (Spanagel, 2009). However, it is accepted that to efficiently develop new treatments, it is necessary to understand the critical actions of alcohol on membrane proteins that regulate fundamental functions in the central nervous system (CNS) (Vengeliene et al., 2009). This report provides the basis for a novel pharmacological role of α1 GlyRs on ethanol-induced animal behavior and defines a novel druggable molecular action based on protein–protein interactions (Azzarito et al., 2013; San Martin et al., 2012).

Here, we demonstrate that the sedative action of ethanol is related to a modulatory site in the α1 GlyR that affects channel gating by a Gβγ-dependent mechanism (Yevenes et al., 2008b). Using a newly generated knock-in (KI) mouse carrying a mostly behaviorally ‘silent’ mutation, which was previously shown to attenuate the effect of this drug on α1-containing GlyRs, we examined multiple mouse behaviors under intoxicating doses of ethanol. Our results support a critical role of the KK385–386 amino-acid residues in the sedative effects of ethanol and open the
possibility of developing specific pharmacological tools
guided to interfere with this effect and perhaps other
ethanol-induced behaviors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

KI Mouse Production

A gene targeting vector was created using 8.7 kb of
Strain 129S7AB2.2 mouse genomic DNA obtained from a
bacterial artificial chromosome library (Source BioScience,
Nottingham, UK). Recombineering (Liu et al., 2003), site-
directed mutagenesis, and standard subcloning were used
to create the targeting construct illustrated in Figure 1a.
This vector was based on the strategy previously described
for creating conditional KI mice (Skvorak et al., 2006).

Details of vector construction are available from G.E.H. The
linearized targeting vector was electroporated into Strain
129X1/S1-derived R1 embryonic stem (ES) cells (Nagy et al.,
1993), and drug-resistant clones were selected as previously
described (Homanics et al., 1997). ES cell clones were
analyzed for gene targeting by Southern blotting analysis
with multiple enzymes and probes (see Supplementary
Figure S1). Experiments reported here were derived from
clon 211H1.

Targeted ES cell clones were injected into C57BL/6J
blastocysts and resulting chimeras were mated to C57BL/6J
females. ES cell-derived offspring of the +/KKneo genotype
(see Figure 1 for allele nomenclature) were crossed to
EIIa-cre deleter mice (Lakso et al., 1996). The resulting
heterozygous mice (+/AA) were bred to C57BL/6J
to remove the cre gene from the pedigree. Subsequently,
+/AA mice were interbred to produce control (+/+), heterozygous (+/AA), and homozygous KI (AA/AA) littermates. These mice consisted of a hybrid genetic background that on average, consisted of approximately 25% Strain 129X1/S1 and 75% C57BL/6J. In this report, these mice are referred to as the hybrid genetic background.

Isolation of Brainstem (BS) Neurons

Animal care and experimental protocols for this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the Universities of Concepción, Pittsburgh and NIH and followed the guidelines for ethical protocols and care of experimental animals established by the NIH (National Institutes of Health, Maryland, USA). Mice (21–30 postnatal) were decapitated as described earlier (Jun et al., 2011). The brain was quickly excised, placed into cutting solution containing (in mM) sucrose 194, NaCl 30, KCl 4.5, MgCl₂ 1, NaHCO₃ 26, NaH₂PO₄ 1.2, and glucose 10 (pH 7.4) saturated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂, glued to the chilled stage of a vibratome (Leica VT1200S, Germany), and sliced to a thickness of 400 μm. Slices were transferred to ACSF solution containing (in mM) NaCl 124, KCl 4.5, MgCl₂ 1, NaHCO₃ 26, NaH₂PO₄ 1.2, glucose 10, and CaCl₂ 2 (pH 7.4 and 310–320 mOsm) saturated with O₂ at 30 °C for 1 h. BS slices that contained the hypoglossal nucleus were isolated and transferred to a 35-mm culture dish. Mild trituration through heat-polished pipettes was done to dissociate the tissue into single neurons. After 20 min, isolated neurons attached to the bottom of the culture dish were ready for electrophysiological experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. Origin 8.0 (MicroCal) software programs were used for statistical analysis and data plots.

Spinal Cord Neuron (SCN) Cultures

Spinal neurons obtained from 5 to 6 embryos (E13–14 days, C57BL/6J) were plated at 250 000 cells/ml onto 18 mm glass coverslips coated with poly-L-lysine (350 kDa, Sigma Chemical, St Louis, MO) in plating medium for 24 h, and then the medium was replaced with feeding medium every 5 days. The neuronal feeding medium consisted of 90% minimal essential medium (MEM, GIBCO, Rockville, MD), 5% heat-inactivated horse serum (GIBCO), 5% fetal bovine serum (GIBCO) and a mixture of nutrient supplements (Aguayo and Pancetti, 1994).

Electrophysiology

Whole-cell current recordings of isolated spinal neurons and SCNs were performed using voltage-clamp (Hamill et al., 1981). Patch pipettes, having a 4–6 MΩ resistance, were prepared from filament-containing borosilicate micropipettes (World Precision Instruments) using a P-87 micropipette puller (Sutter Instruments). Recordings of glycine currents were done using an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments) at a holding potential of −60 mV. To examine the effect of ethanol on the GlyRs, we used a high concentration of intracellular Cl⁻. The internal solution contained (in mM): 120 KCl, 4.0 MgCl₂, 10 BAPTA, 0.5 Na₂-GTP, and 2.0 Na₂-ATP (pH 7.4, 290–310 mOsmol), and the external solution contained (in mM): 150 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 2.0 CaCl₂, 1.0 MgCl₂, 10 glucose, and 10 HEPES (pH 7.4, 300–330 mOsmol). Currents were displayed and stored on a personal computer using a 1322A Digidata. Statistical analyses were performed using the paired Student’s t-test and ANOVA and are expressed as the mean ± SEM; values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant. GraphPad Prism 5 and the Origin 8.0 (MicroCal) software programs were used for statistical analysis and data plots.

Immunocytochemistry

The spinal neurons were fixed for 3 min with cold methanol (−20 °C). After three washes with 1X PBS, neurons were blocked with normal horse serum (10%) for 30 min. Cells were incubated (overnight) with primary antibodies: z1 GlyR (1 : 50, mouse, SySyS) and synaptophysin (1 : 200, rabbit, Invitrogen). Subsequently, cells were washed with 1X PBS and incubated (2 h) with a secondary antibody rabbit anti-IgG and mouse (Cy3 and DyLight488, respectively, Jackson Labs) diluted 1 : 200 for 2 h. After five washes with 1X PBS, the preparations were mounted with Dako mounting medium (DakoCytomation, USA). Samples of spinal neurons were chosen randomly for imaging using confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM700, Germany). Dual color immunofluorescent images were captured, stored, and analyzed using the ImageJ program (NIH) and evaluated with the Origin 6.0 program (Microcal Software Inc). Statistical analyses were performed using one-way ANOVA and are expressed as the mean ± SEM; values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.

Western Blottings

Tissue homogenates (100 μg) after detergent treatment (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM NEM, protease inhibitor cocktail 1X) were subjected to electrophoresis on 10% SDS-PAGE gels. Proteins were blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad) and blocked with 5% milk in 1X TBS-0.1% Tween 20 for 1 h with stirring. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies z1 GlyR (1 : 1000, mouse, SySyS), zβ GlyR (1 : 200, mouse, SySyS), and anti z-tubulin (1 : 3000, mouse, Sigma) for 1–2 h. After washes with 1X TBS and 0.1% Tween 20, membranes were incubated for 1 h with anti-mouse secondary antibodies conjugated to HRP (1 : 5000, Santa Cruz). The immunoreactivity of the proteins was detected using a chemiluminescence reagent (Promega). Levels of z-tubulin were used as loading control. Western blotting was quantified by using the ‘ImageJ’ (NIH) program. Statistical analyses were performed using ANOVA and are expressed as the mean ± SEM; values of \( p < 0.05 \) were considered statistically significant.

Behavioral Characterization

Both male and female mice were used for all studies unless indicated. All mice were between 3 and 12 weeks of age at the time of testing.

Accelerating rotarod. Basal motor skill performance was tested using an accelerating rotarod assay. Briefly, mice were
placed on a non-rotating rod (Ugo Basile 7650, Varese, Italy). A timer was started when the rod began accelerating at 14 rpm/min, and the latency to fall was recorded. Each mouse was tested 5 times on Day 1 and 10 times on Day 2. Results were analyzed with two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

**Fixed speed rotarod.** Mice were tested for sensitivity and tolerance to the motor ataxic effects of ethanol using a fixed speed rotarod assay. Mice were trained to remain on a rotating rotarod (8 rpm; Ugo Basile) for a maximum of 100 s for four training trials on Day 1. Subsequently, mice were injected with ethanol (2.0 g/kg; i.p.) and retested on the fixed speed rotarod at 15, 30, 45, and 60 min postinjection. On Days 2–4, all animals received a single daily injection of ethanol (2.0 g/kg). On Day 5, mice received another injection with ethanol and were tested for rotarod performance as described above. The areas over the response curves (AOC) were calculated and compared by two-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post hoc test where appropriate.

**Open field assay.** Mice were tested for locomotor activity in a novel open field using automated activity monitors (Med Associates, St Albans, VT). Mice were injected with ethanol (2.0 g/kg; i.p.) and retested on the open field apparatus. Mice were trained to remain on a non-rotating rod (Ugo Basile 7650, Varese, Italy). Mice were tested 5 times on Day 1 and 10 times on Day 2. Results were analyzed with two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

**Hypothermia.** Rectal body temperatures were recorded using a digital thermometer (Thermalert Model TH-8, probe RET-3; Physiotemp Instruments, Clifton, NJ). Temperatures were obtained before injection with ethanol (3.5 g/kg) and at 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, and 120 min postinjection. Results were analyzed with two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

**LORR.** Mice were tested for the sedative/hypnotic effects of ethanol (3.5 g/kg i.p.). Mice were injected with ethanol and observed for LORR. Later, mice were placed on their backs in v-shaped troughs and monitored until they were able to right themselves three times in a 30-s period. LORR was determined as the length of time from when the mouse was placed in a supine position until it was able to right itself. Results were analyzed with two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

**Clearance and metabolism.** Mice were administered ethanol (3.5 g/kg), and blood was collected from the tail vein at 60 and 120 min postinjection. Blood ethanol concentrations (BECs) were determined in serum/whole blood using an Analox AM1 Alcohol Analyzer (Lunenburg, MA). BECs were compared with two-way repeated measures ANOVA.

**RESULTS**

### 385/386 KI Mouse Generation

Recently, we described that an z1 subunit displaying a mutation that replaced 385/386 lysines with alanines exhibited a reduced sensitivity to ethanol (Yevenes et al., 2010; Yevenes et al., 2008a). These residues were also critical for GlyR-induced modulation of the GlyR (Yevenes et al., 2006; Yevenes et al., 2003). To generate a mouse line bearing this mutated glycine z1 subunit gene, standard gene targeting and ES cell technologies were used as illustrated in Figure 1a. The KI mutations that were introduced replaced the codons for lysine (K) at positions 385–386 with alanine (A). In addition, a silent mutation that did not change the codon at amino-acid 390 was also introduced for genotyping. The gene targeting approach that was used (Skvorak et al., 2006) created an allele that could be knocked in by cre-mediated recombination either in a conditional (tissue specific and/or temporally controlled) or global manner (Figure 1b). Mice with the conditional KI ready allele are available from the Jackson Laboratories’ (Stock number 023516; Bar Harbor, ME) stock. All of the studies reported here were conducted on global KI mice that were homozygous for the KI allele (AA/AA), and these animals were compared with true WT controls (+/+).

mRNA from the spinal cord (SC) was analyzed by DNA sequence analysis of reverse transcription-PCR products. As shown in Figure 1c, +/+ and KK/KK mice expressed only mRNA that encoded lysine at positions 385–386. In contrast, AA/AA mice expressed mRNA that encoded alanine at these positions. The only other difference between the genotypes was the A/C silent mutation that was introduced for genotype analysis.

Levels of z1 GlyR protein in different areas of the CNS in WT and KI mice were compared. The western blotting data in Figure 1d show that the strongest chemiluminescence signal revealing the presence of GlyR was in the SC and BS, as compared with the hippocampus (H) and the cerebellum (CBL). Furthermore, the data show that the level of z1 GlyR was similar in WT and KI mice (Figure 1Db). Analysis of the structural β subunit in WT and KI showed similar levels. These results are in agreement with data obtained using confocal microscopy in WT and KI spinal neurons (Figure 1e, upper and lower panels, respectively). These data show that z1 GlyR (green) colocalized with synaptophysin (red), and additional quantitative analysis of z1 puncta immunoreactivity in the soma and primary processes demonstrated similar levels in WT and KI spinal neurons (Supplementary Figure S2). For example, the values for somatic puncta in WT and KI mice were 30 ± 10 and 23 ± 4/12 μm of neurite length (n = 7 neurons from three different mice each); fluorescence intensity was 56 ± 2 and 70 ± 3 RU (n = 7 neurons from three mice); and mean cluster size was 0.60 ± 0.03 and 0.62 ± 0.02 μm (n = 7 neurons from three mice), respectively. Together, these data suggest that the mutation of the z1 GlyR did not affect the expression of these inhibitory receptors in neurons.

The 385/386 KI Mouse Displayed Normal Glycinergic Transmission

We studied the properties of mIPSCs by recording the synaptic activity in two types of neurons; 15 DIV spinal...
neurons and acutely dissociated hypoglossal neurons. The recordings were done in the presence of gabazine (5 μM) to block GABAergic transmission. Data in Figure 2 recorded in 15 DIV SCNs showed that WT and KI phenotypes had similar properties at a developmental stage when expression of α1 subunits predominate (Aguayo et al., 2004). For example, the values in WT (n = 12 neurons from 7 mice) and KI (n = 14 neurons, 5 mice) were very similar for frequency (0.5 ± 0.1 vs 0.4 ± 0.1 Hz), amplitude (56 ± 8 vs 63 ± 10 pA), and decay time constant (18 ± 3 vs 21 ± 3 ms) (Figure 2b–d). We also examined the properties of isolated glycinergic synaptic currents in mechanically isolated BS neurons (P13–18) in both WT and KI mice. sIPSCs were reversibly blocked by strychnine (1 μM), demonstrating that they were mediated by GlyRs (Supplementary Figure S3A). Comparison of these properties in WT (n = 13) and KI (n = 22) neurons showed similar average values for amplitude (111 ± 22 vs 120 ± 16 pA), frequency (0.2 ± 0.04 vs 0.3 ± 0.04 Hz), and decay time constant (16 ± 3 vs 17 ± 2 ms). Additional analyses with cumulative probability histograms obtained in both SCNs and BS neurons revealed no differences between the two groups (Figure 2e–g and Supplementary Figure S3B).

Effects of Ethanol on Properties of WT and KI Spinal Receptors

It was previously reported that the potentiation of GlyR by ethanol was already detected with 10 mM, a minimally intoxicating concentration (Aguayo and Pancetti, 1994). The most commonly used protocol to study ethanol on LGIC is to test with 100 mM (Aguayo and Pancetti, 1994; Guzman et al., 2009; Lovinger et al., 1989; San Martin et al., 2012; Yevenes et al., 2008b). We examined the effects of ethanol on two types of GlyR-activated inhibitory Cl− currents, synaptically mediated mIPSCs, and macroscopic currents elicited by direct application of glycine onto spinal neurons. Ethanol significantly enhanced the decay time constant without changes in the amplitude of mIPSCs in WT neurons (Figure 3a). These data also show that although most of the cells were potentiated by ethanol, about one-third were not. On the other hand, the decay of mIPSCs recorded in KI neurons was not affected by ethanol (Figure 3b–d). For instance, overall analysis showed that the decay time constant increased by 24 ± 2% in WT in the presence of ethanol (from 18 ± 2 to 22 ± 3 ms; n = 35, p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test), whereas
in KI there was no detectable increase (from 21 ± 2 to 22 ± 3 ms; n = 29, p > 0.05). Overall analyses of the two cell phenotypes showed no significant differences in current amplitude (Figure 3d, p > 0.05). We then examined the effect of ethanol on the current elicited by exogenously applied glycine. The agonist-evoked currents showed fairly comparable properties in WT and KI neurons, with EC_{50} values of 29 ± 3 and 23 ± 3 μM, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). Corresponding values for Hill coefficients were 1.8 ± 0.2 and 2.2 ± 0.4, respectively. In addition, the current densities were 43 ± 6 pA/pF in WT and 45 ± 6 pA/pF in KI mice (Supplementary Table S1). The current records in Figure 4a show responses evoked by an EC_{10–20} concentration of glycine and additionally show that while the current in WT neurons was potentiated by ethanol, the KI neurons were less sensitive to ethanol effects. Interestingly, experiments that examined the effect of ethanol on glycine-elicited currents showed that all of the cells were potentiated (18 of 18). Additional analysis showed that the average potentiation was 66 ± 4% in WT neurons and only 14 ± 4% in KI neurons (Figure 4b). The concentration–response curve showed that glycine-evoked currents in WT were potentiated in a concentration-dependent fashion by intoxicating levels of ethanol, but in KI mice the effects of ethanol were reduced (***p < 0.0001, two-way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc test; Figure 4c). The data in Supplementary Table S1 summarize several properties of glycine-evoked currents and sensitivity to two general anesthetics, propofol and isoflurane, in WT and KI neurons, and the results show that they were similar, except for the modulation by ethanol. Finally, we expected that a mutation in KK385–386AA should render the receptor less sensitive to modulation by Gβγ (Yevenes et al, 2008b). In agreement, we found that GlyRs recorded in neurons from the KI mice were not modulated by intracellular GTP-γ-S. For example, intracellular dialysis of the guanine nucleotide for 15 min potentiated the current by 80 ± 18% in WT neurons but not in KI α1 GlyRs (−10 ± 12%, Figure 4d and e).

**Motor Behavior of 385/386 KI Mice was Largely Normal**

The animals studied were derived from heterozygous breeding pairs, and all genotypes were represented at weaning in numbers that were not significantly different from the predicted Mendelian ratios. KI mice were viable, healthy, had normal body weights, and were overtly indistinguishable from littermate controls. Figure 5a shows that KI mice did not display the foot clasping behavior upon lifting by the tail and lacked an enhanced startle reflex response (Figure 5b) that are characteristic of other glycine KI mouse lines with markedly impaired glycine receptor function (Borghese et al, 2012; Findlay et al, 2003a). Thus, Gβγ modulation of the glycine α1 subunit was not required for normal development and survival under the laboratory conditions in which these animals were raised.

**The 385/386 KI Mouse Displayed Less Sedation and Tolerance with Ethanol**

As a first approximation to characterize the behavior of the KI mice under the influence of ethanol (1.0 g/kg), we examined the distance traveled in a novel open field environment to test for locomotor activity. Total distance traveled during the 5-min test period is shown in Figure 5c. Two-way ANOVA revealed an effect of treatment (p < 0.01), a trend for genotype (p = 0.059), and an interaction of treatment with genotype (p < 0.01). Post hoc comparisons revealed that +/+ and AA/AA mice did not differ in...
response to the novel open field following injection with saline. In contrast to +/+ mice whose locomotor activity following injection with ethanol did not differ from saline, AA/AA mice responded with a significant increase in activity compared with saline ($p < 0.001$).

Finally, we used a sedative dose of ethanol (3.5 g/Kg) to examine LORR. The data show that the WT and KI mice lost their reflex ability at approximately the same time after the i.p. injection ($2.7 \pm 0.2$ vs $3.3 \pm 0.4$ min) (Supplementary Figure S4B). Interestingly, the KI mice recovered their reflex capacity much faster than the WT mice ($38 \pm 2$, $n = 12$ for WT and $23 \pm 2$ min, $n = 11$ for KI, $***p < 0.001$) (Figure 5d).

Mice were tested for motor skill performance using an accelerating rotarod assay that consisted of multiple trials over a 2-day period (Supplementary Figure S4A). Two-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant effect of trial ($p < 0.0001$) and genotype ($p < 0.005$) but no interaction of trial with genotype. Both genotypes increased performance over the course of the trials, but AA/AA mice consistently had reduced latency to fall as compared with +/+ controls. Thus KI mice had a small degree of impaired motor coordination.

Mice were also tested for sensitivity and tolerance to the motor ataxic effects of ethanol using a fixed speed rotarod assay (Figure 5e). Note that both genotypes were able to perform during the predrug training trials. The data show rotarod performance of +/+ and AA/AA mice following one or five daily injections with ethanol (2 g/kg). On Day 1, an effect of time ($p < 0.0001$) but not
of genotype or interaction was observed (Figure 5e). On Day 5, an effect of time \( (p \leq 0.0001) \), genotype \( (p \leq 0.05) \), and an interaction \( (p < 0.05) \) were observed. To further compare responses, AOC related to data points repre- senting rotarod activity in the presence of ethanol was calculated and is presented in Figure 5f. Two-way repeated ANOVA measurements revealed an effect of day \( (p < 0.0001) \) and interaction \( (p \leq 0.01) \) but not of genotype. Post hoc analysis revealed that performance on Day 1 did not differ between genotypes. Thus KI did not alter sensitivity to the acute ataxic effects of ethanol. Following chronic exposure to ethanol, WT \( (p < 0.0001) \) and KI \( (p < 0.01) \) displayed a reduction in AOC, indicative of tolerance. However, AA/AA mice demonstrated a trend
toward less tolerance compared with $+/+$ controls ($p = 0.056$).

Mice were tested for the hypothermic effect of ethanol by measuring rectal temperatures following ethanol (3.5 g/kg) injection (Supplementary Figure S4C). Statistical analysis revealed an effect of time ($p < 0.0001$) but no effect of genotype or interaction of time with genotype. Thus ethanol induced a reduction in body temperature, but this response did not differ between genotypes.

To ensure that genotypic differences in ethanol-induced behavioral responses were not due to ethanol pharmacokinetics, BECs were determined 1 and 2 h following injection with ethanol (3.5 g/kg). At 1 h postinjection, BECs were $358 \pm 6$ and $362 \pm 11$ mg/dl for $+/+$ and AA/AA, respectively. At 2 h postinjection, BECs were $304.6 \pm 17.7$ and $299.2 \pm 6.8$ mg/dl for $+/+$ and AA/AA, respectively. Two-way repeated ANOVA measurements revealed an effect of time ($p < 0.001$) but no effect of genotype or interaction. Thus, ethanol clearance and metabolism did not differ between genotypes and cannot account for differences that were observed in behavioral assays.

**DISCUSSION**

This study showed that mice bearing mutations that affect the G$\beta\gamma$-dependent allosteric modulation of GlyRs (Yevenes et al, 2006) displayed a significant reduction in LORR induced by an intoxicating dose of ethanol. The KI mice behaved normally (Figure 5a and b) and exhibited unchanged levels of neuronal GlyRs (Figure 1) and metabolism of ethanol. However, we found a significant difference on open field exploration with a low concentration of ethanol (Figure 5c). The increase in locomotor activity in the KI mice might have resulted from neuronal disinhibition resulting from the reduced effect of ethanol on GlyR. In the WT mice, on the other hand, the ethanol-sensitive GlyR was able to provide a high level of inhibition counteracting an excitatory pathway, the nature of which is currently unknown. Yet, the most relevant result was the reduced time of LORR linking the insensitivity of $\alpha$1-containing GlyRs to a sedative action of ethanol (Figure 5d). The electrophysiological data obtained in SCNs and isolated BS neurons revealed similar properties in WT and KI (Figure 2, Supplementary Figure S3), with KI neurons being primarily resistant to ethanol in both mIPSC (Figure 3) and glycine-evoked current (Figure 4).

Glycine receptors, like GABA$_A$Rs, serotonin type 3 receptors, and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, belong to the Cys-loop ligand gated ion channel superfamily (Thompson et al, 2010). They share several structural similarities such as the presence of a large N-terminal extracellular domain with the ligand binding site, four transmembrane segments (TM1–4) with the pore formed by TM2, and one large intracellular loop (IL) connecting TM3 and TM4 that participates in several modulatory mechanisms (Melzer et al, 2010; Specht et al, 2011; Unwin, 2003).

It is currently accepted that glycineric neurotransmission is critical for a series of neural functions, and alterations in this main inhibitory mechanism resulting from blockade of postsynaptic receptors, mutations in glycine-associated proteins, and inhibition of glycine reuptake have profound effects on neuronal excitability, resulting in muscle spasticity and hyper reflexia that are of clinical relevance (Davies et al, 2010; Dutertre et al, 2012). For example, hyperekplexia is a neuromotor disorder characterized by exaggerated startle reflexes and hypertonia in response to sudden, unexpected auditory or tactile stimuli. This disorder, which is potentially fatal, is primarily caused by inherited mutations in the genes encoding the GlyR $\alpha$1 subunit (GLRA1) and the pre-synaptic glycine transporter GlyT2 (SLC6A5) (Carta et al, 2012). However, mutations have also been discovered in the genes encoding the GlyR $\beta$ subunit (GLRB) (Chung et al, 2012).

In addition, previous studies showed that G$\beta\gamma$ association contributes to the allosteric effect of ethanol on GlyR channel gating (Yevenes et al, 2006), where the participation of residues KKI385–386 in the IL are most relevant (Yevenes et al, 2008b). These residues are important for the effects of ethanol on the GlyR, which are reversible and associated with a leftward shift in the concentration–response curve (ie, increase in apparent affinity) (Aguayo, 1996). Therefore, understanding the molecular actions of ethanol on the GlyR is relevant to human health and, in this respect, the present study demonstrates that the sedation induced by ethanol is linked to the allosteric potentiation of the GlyR.

It is important to discuss the present results in relation to other previous studies that suggested that binding sites in TM regions in GlyRs are important for ethanol actions. Additionally, a critical amino acid in loop 2 of the extracellular domain, A52, was reported to modulate ethanol sensitivity in the receptor (Perkins et al, 2009). We believed that because mice genetically engineered to display an ethanol-resistant GlyR based on sites in TM regions exhibited hypo functional receptors and were hyper excitable, the interpretation about ethanol’s site and mechanism of action was confounded (Findlay et al, 2003b). The KI mice, on the other hand, although overly normal in broad behavior, were less sedated by ethanol, indicating that this depressing action of ethanol is, in part, mediated by glycineric neurotransmission. The results also show that the modulation of the glycineric transmission by G$\beta\gamma$ was important in higher levels of network activity. Consistent with its localization and roles in motor control, the KI mouse showed a degree of impairment in the accelerating rotarod assay (Supplementary Figure S4A). Thus, the GlyR G$\beta\gamma$ interaction appears to be important for neuronal control under a high level of inhibitory demand. At the cellular level, this appears to be correlated with an increased level of receptor function, as evidenced by a slight increase in the decay time constant and apparent affinity ($EC_{50}$) of GlyR in the KI mice (Supplementary Table S1).

Glycine is the main inhibitory neurotransmitter present in the SC and BS and has significant roles in motor and respiratory control mechanisms (Legendre, 2001; Lynch, 2004). In the mature CNS, glycine activates mainly GlyRs composed of $\alpha$1 and $\beta$ subunits (Aguayo et al, 2004). Interestingly, Cl$^-$-permeable GlyRs are one of the most sensitive ethanol molecular targets in the CNS, providing a neurobiological basis for its depressing effects on motor
control, cardiovascular regulation, and sedation (Chang and Martin, 2011; Krowicki and Kapusta, 2011; Ren and Greer, 2006; Schmid et al., 1991). In addition, because recent studies showed that GlyRs are also found in supra spinal regions, such as VTA and nucleus accumbens, it is possible to postulate that they might be implicated in reward and ethanol-seeking behaviors (Chau et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012). Thus the present study opens the possibility of relating spinal and BS inhibition to the sedation caused by this drug. Because the x2 subunit of the GlyR also presents the Gf/β3-binding sequence (Yevenes et al., 2010), it seems possible that these supra spinal receptors are also affected by a similar ethanol mechanism.

In conclusion, the present results strongly suggest an important function of x1 GlyR on sedative effects of ethanol and confirms the role of KCl385–386 residues in these effects. Furthermore, these KI mice can be a new tool for alcohol addiction studies, not only in motor areas associated with sedative actions like BS and SC but also in other important regions for reward like nucleus accumbens and VTA.
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